An Approach to Observer-Based Decentralized Control under Periodic Protocols

Nicolas Bauer¹, Tijs Donkers¹, Maurice Heemels¹, Nathan van de Wouw²

- ¹ Hybrid & Networked Systems Group, University of Technology Eindhoven, The Netherlands
- ² Dynamics & Control Group, University of Technology Eindhoven, The Netherlands

ACC, Baltimore - WeC16.3 November 23, 2010



Interest in control of large-scale systems that are **physically distributed** over a wide area:

- Observer-based
- Decentralized
- ► Wireless → Network Effects





Problem Description

We consider decentralized control design and stability analysis for

- large-scale continuous-time linear plant
- via a multi-purpose network with
 - communication constraints: not all outputs and inputs can be communicated simultaneously
 - uncertain time-varying transmission intervals $h_k \in [\underline{h}, \overline{h}] \, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$





Outline

NCS Model Plant Description Plant Decomposition Communication Constraints Closed Loop Model

Stability

Design

Numerical Example

Conclusions



The plant is given by

$$\mathcal{P}(t) := \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + B(t)\hat{u}(t) \\ y(t) = Cx(t) \end{cases}$$

We can express this system with time-varying transmission intervals in the following way

$$\mathcal{P}_{h_k} := \begin{cases} x_{k+1} = \bar{A}_{h_k} x_k + \bar{B}_{h_k} \hat{u}_k \\ y_k = C x_k \end{cases}, \quad h_k \in [\underline{h}, \overline{h}]$$

here $\bar{A}_{h_k} := e^{Ah_k}, \quad \bar{B}_{h_k} := \int_0^{h_k} e^{As} ds B$



/department of mechanical engineering

w

Model - Decomposition



Decomposition

$$\mathcal{P}(t) := \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + B\hat{u}(t) \\ y(t) &= Cx(t) \end{cases}$$



 $= Ax(t) + B\hat{u}(t)$

/department of mechanical engineering

6/18

Model - Decomposition



Decomposition

$$\mathcal{P}(t) := \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + B\hat{u}(t) \\ y(t) &= Cx(t) \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{P} := \begin{cases} x_{k+1} &= \bar{A}x_k + \bar{B}\hat{u}_k \\ y_k &= Cx_k \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{A} = e^{Ah_*}, \bar{B} = \int_0^{h_*} e^{As} dsB$$



Model - Decomposition



Decomposition

/department of mechanical engineering

ersity of Technology

Model - Network Effects



Communication Constraints



7/18

Model - Network Effects



Communication Constraints



7/18

Communication Constraints

Only one node is allowed to transmit information at each transmission time

<u>Node</u> - A collection of sensors and/or actuators are allowed communicate over a network simultaneously

<u>Periodic Protocol</u> - grant network access to each node in a periodic fashion

 $\sigma_k \in \{1, 2, ..., n_T\}$ denotes the node that has access at transmission time $k \in \mathbb{N}$



/department of mechanical engineering

7/18

Communication Constraints

$$\hat{u}_{j,k} = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} u_{j,k} & ext{if node } j ext{ has access} \ \hat{u}_{j,k-1} & ext{otherwise} \end{array}
ight.$$







Communication Constraints

$$\hat{u}_{j,k} = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} u_{j,k} & ext{if node } j ext{ has access} \ \hat{u}_{j,k-1} & ext{otherwise} \end{array}
ight.$$

Mathematically we express this as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{u}_k \\ \hat{y}_k \end{bmatrix} = \Gamma_{\sigma_k} \begin{bmatrix} u_k \\ y_k \end{bmatrix} + (I - \Gamma_{\sigma_k}) \begin{bmatrix} \hat{u}_{k-1} \\ \hat{y}_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$
where Γ diag(...)

where $\Gamma_{\sigma_k} = diag(\gamma_{j,\sigma_k})$

$$\nu_{i,\sigma_k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u_{j,k} / y_{j,k} \text{ has network access} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$







Controller



$$C_{\sigma_{k}}^{(i)} := \begin{cases} \tilde{x}_{k+1}^{(i)} = A_{i}\tilde{x}_{k}^{(i)} + B_{i}\hat{u}_{k}^{(i)} + L_{i,\sigma_{k}}\Gamma_{i,\sigma_{k}}^{y}(\hat{y}_{k}^{(i)} - \bar{C}_{i}\tilde{x}_{k}^{(i)}) \\ u_{k}^{(i)} = -K_{i,\sigma_{k}}\tilde{x}_{k}^{(i)} \end{cases}$$

- discrete-time
- observer-based
- decentralized
- switch based on protocol



Model - Summary

Plant Dynamics:

$$\mathcal{P}_{h_k} := \begin{cases} z_{k+1} = \bar{A}_{h_k} z_k + \bar{B}_{h_k} \hat{u}_k \\ y_k = \bar{C} z_k \end{cases} \qquad h_k \in [\underline{h}, \overline{h}]$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \hat{u}_{k} = \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{u} u_{k} + (I - \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{u}) \hat{u}_{k-1} \\ \hat{y}_{k} = \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{y} y_{k} + (I - \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{y}) \hat{y}_{k-1} \end{cases} \quad \sigma_{k} \in \{1, ..., n_{T}\}$$

Controller Dynamics:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\sigma_{k}}^{(i)} := \begin{cases} \tilde{x}_{k+1}^{(i)} = A_{i}\tilde{x}_{k}^{(i)} + B_{i}\hat{u}_{k}^{(i)} + L_{i,\sigma_{k}}\Gamma_{i,\sigma_{k}}^{y}(\hat{y}_{k}^{(i)} - \bar{C}_{i}\tilde{x}_{k}^{(i)}) \\ u_{k}^{(i)} = -K_{i,\sigma_{k}}\tilde{x}_{k}^{(i)} \end{cases}$$



The closed loop model can be written as a discrete-time switched system with exponential uncertainty:

$$\bar{x}_{k+1} = \tilde{A}_{c,h_k,\sigma_k}\bar{x}_k, \quad h_k \in [\underline{h}, \bar{h}], \ \sigma_k \in \{1, ..., N\}$$

where

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} \eta_{k} \\ z_{k} \\ \mathbf{e}_{k}^{u} \\ \mathbf{e}_{k}^{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{z}_{k} - z_{k} \\ z_{k} \\ \hat{u}_{k-1} - u_{k} \\ \hat{y}_{k-1} - y_{k} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \tilde{A}_{c,h_{k},\sigma_{k}} &= \\ & \begin{bmatrix} A_{d} - L_{\sigma_{k}}\Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{y}C_{d} + \Delta B_{c,h_{k}}K_{\sigma_{k}} & L_{\sigma_{k}}\Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{y}C_{c} - \Delta A_{c,h_{k}} + \Delta B_{c,h_{k}}K_{\sigma_{k}} & -\Delta B_{c,h_{k}}(I - \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{u}) & 0 \\ & -\tilde{B}_{h_{k}}K_{\sigma_{k}} & \tilde{A}_{h_{k}} - \tilde{B}_{h_{k}}K_{\sigma_{k}} & \tilde{B}_{h_{k}}(I - \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{u}) & 0 \\ K_{\sigma_{k}}(A_{d} - L_{\sigma_{k}}\Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{y}C_{d} - B_{d}K_{\sigma_{k}} - I) & K_{\sigma_{k}}(A_{d} + L_{\sigma_{k}}\Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{y}C_{c} - B_{d}K_{\sigma_{k}} - I) & (K_{\sigma_{k}}B_{d} + I)(I - \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{u}) & 0 \\ & \tilde{C}\tilde{B}_{h_{k}}K_{\sigma_{k}} & \tilde{C}(I - \tilde{A}_{h_{k}} + \tilde{B}_{h_{k}}K_{\sigma_{k}}) & -\tilde{C}\tilde{B}_{h_{k}}(I - \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{u}) & I - \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{y} \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

TU/e Technische Universiteit Eindhoven University of Technology

11/18

Due to the transmission variance $h_k \in [\underline{h}, \overline{h}] \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an infinite amount of sequences to check for stability

 $\left\{\tilde{A}_{c,h,\sigma} \mid h \in [\underline{h},\overline{h}]\right\}$



Due to the transmission variance $h_k \in [\underline{h}, \overline{h}] \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an infinite amount of sequences to check for stability

$$\left\{\tilde{\mathsf{A}}_{\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{h},\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \mid \boldsymbol{h} \in [\underline{\boldsymbol{h}},\overline{\boldsymbol{h}}]\right\} \subseteq \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{M} \alpha^{j} \left(F_{\boldsymbol{\sigma},j} + \boldsymbol{G}_{j} \Delta \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\right)\right\}$$

Therefore we prove stability on an overapproximation of the original model, which is achieved by

(i) gridding a finite number of points in [h, h]
(ii) adding norm-bounded uncertainty to each grid point to capture the non-linearity between grid points.

Using the candidate $V_{\sigma_k}(x_k) = \bar{x}_k^\top P_{\sigma_k} \bar{x}_k$ along with the full-block S-procedure, a <u>finite set</u> of LMIs can be derived on the overapproximation for periodic protocols.



Design Problem:

Given a decomposition and a protocol, how to choose L_{σ_k} and K_{σ_k} such that the closed-loop NCS is stable?

Goal:

Provide LMI conditions to design L_{σ_k} and K_{σ_k} using the Lyapunov canidate

$$V_{\sigma_k}(\mathbf{x}_k) = \bar{\mathbf{x}}_k^\top \mathbf{P}_{\sigma_k} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_k \ge 0$$

$$\Delta V_{\sigma_k}(\mathbf{x}_k) = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{c,h_k,\sigma_k}^\top \mathbf{P}_{\sigma_{k+1}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{c,h_k,\sigma_k} - \mathbf{P}_{\sigma_k} \prec 0$$

we use the fact that σ_k is a known periodic function



13/18

Our approach:

1. Simplify the design problem in the following way:

- constant transmission intervals
- ignore subsystem coupling
- \rightarrow design considering only the protocol σ_k
- 2. Verify stability of the model including varying transmission intervals and subsystem coupling by using overapproximation technique

14/18

Our approach:

1. Simplify the design problem in the following way:

- constant transmission intervals
- ignore subsystem coupling
- \rightarrow design considering only the protocol σ_k
- 2. Verify stability of the model including varying transmission intervals and subsystem coupling by using overapproximation technique

Entire closed loop system matrix

$$\begin{split} \bar{A}_{c,h_{k},\sigma_{k}} &= \\ \begin{bmatrix} A_{d} - L_{\sigma_{k}}\Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{y}C_{d} + \Delta B_{c,h_{k}}K_{\sigma_{k}} & L_{\sigma_{k}}\Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{y}C_{c} - \Delta A_{c,h_{k}} + \Delta B_{c,h_{k}}K_{\sigma_{k}} & -\Delta B_{c,h_{k}}(I - \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{u}) & 0 \\ -\bar{B}_{h_{k}}K_{\sigma_{k}} & \bar{A}_{h_{k}} - \bar{B}_{h_{k}}K_{\sigma_{k}} & \bar{B}_{h_{k}}(I - \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{u}) & 0 \\ K_{\sigma_{k}}(A_{d} - L_{\sigma_{k}}\Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{y}C_{d} - B_{d}K_{\sigma_{k}} - I) & K_{\sigma_{k}}(A_{d} + L_{\sigma_{k}}\Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{y}C_{c} - B_{d}K_{\sigma_{k}} - I) & (K_{\sigma_{k}}B_{d} + I)(I - \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{u}) & 0 \\ \bar{C}\bar{B}_{h_{k}}K_{\sigma_{k}} & \bar{C}(I - \bar{A}_{h_{k}} + \bar{B}_{h_{k}}K_{\sigma_{k}}) & -\bar{C}\bar{B}_{h_{k}}(I - \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{u}) & I - \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{y} \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$



Our approach:

1. Simplify the design problem in the following way:

- constant transmission intervals
- ignore subsystem coupling
- \rightarrow design considering only the protocol σ_k
- 2. Verify stability of the model including varying transmission intervals and subsystem coupling by using overapproximation technique

With design simplifications

$$\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\sigma_{k}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{A_{d} - L_{\sigma_{k}} \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{y} C_{d}}{0} & 0 & 0\\ \frac{-B_{d} K_{\sigma_{k}}}{-B_{d} K_{\sigma_{k}}} & \frac{A_{d} - B_{d} K_{\sigma_{k}}}{B_{d} (I - \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{u})} & 0\\ \frac{K_{\sigma_{k}} (A_{d} - L_{\sigma_{k}} \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{y} C_{d} - B_{d} K_{\sigma_{k}} - I)}{C_{d} B_{d} K_{\sigma_{k}}} & \frac{C_{d} (I - A_{d} + B_{d} K_{\sigma_{k}})}{C_{d} (I - A_{d} + B_{d} K_{\sigma_{k}})} & -C_{d} B_{d} (I - \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{u})} & I - \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{y} \end{bmatrix}$$



14/18

Our approach:

1. Simplify the design problem in the following way:

- constant transmission intervals
- ignore subsystem coupling
- \rightarrow design considering only the protocol σ_k
- 2. Verify stability of the model including varying transmission intervals and subsystem coupling by using overapproximation technique

Interesting special case: Controllers are hard wired ($\Gamma^{u}_{\sigma_{k}} = I$) we have

$$\tilde{A}_{\sigma_{k}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{A_{d} - L_{\sigma_{k}} \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{y} C_{d}}{0} & 0 \\ \frac{-B_{d} K_{\sigma_{k}}}{C_{d} B_{d} K_{\sigma_{k}}} & C_{d} (I - A_{d} + B_{d} K_{\sigma_{k}}) & I - \Gamma_{\sigma_{k}}^{y} \end{bmatrix}$$

 \rightarrow convex LMI design conditions



Plant Model

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline C & \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 & -4.2 & 0.1 & 2.1 & 0.7 & 1.9 & -0.02 \\ 0.1 & -2.1 & 0.01 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -0.01 \\ 0 & 0 & -3.2 & 0.2 & 0 & 0 & 0.8 \\ \hline 0 & -0.03 & 5.3 & -0.2 & 0 & 0 & -0.4 \\ \hline 1 & 4 & 0 & 0.05 \\ 0.2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & \end{bmatrix}$$



Plant Model

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline C & \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 & -4.2 & 0.1 & 2.1 & 0.7 & 1.9 & -0.02 \\ 0.1 & -2.1 & 0.01 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -0.01 \\ \hline 0 & -0.3 & -3.2 & 0.2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -0.01 \\ \hline 0 & -0.03 & 5.3 & -0.2 & 0 & 0 & -0.4 \\ \hline 1 & 4 & 0 & 0.05 & 0 \\ \hline 0.2 & -1 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, h_{\star} = 1$$



Plant Model

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline C & \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 & -4.2 & 0.1 & 2.1 & 0.7 & 1.9 & -0.02 \\ 0.1 & -2.1 & 0.01 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -0.01 \\ 0 & -3.2 & 0.2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.4 \\ \hline 0 & -0.03 & 5.3 & -0.2 & 0 & 0 & -0.4 \\ \hline 1 & 4 & 0 & 0.05 & 0 \\ 0.2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, h_{\star} = 1$$

Nodes and Protocol

$$\Gamma_{1}^{y} = \Gamma_{2}^{y} = \Gamma_{3}^{y} = \Gamma_{1,2,3}^{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sigma_{k} = 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, \dots$$



Switching Gains

$$L_{1} = L_{2} = L_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 6.24 - 24.89 & 0 \\ -0.73 & 3.46 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0.32 & 2.65 & 0 \\ 0.16 & 0.15 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.28 \\ 0 & 0 & 3.27 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0.57 & 0.44 & 0 \\ 0.04 & 0.02 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$K_{l} = K = \begin{bmatrix} 1.94 - 1.40 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.56 - 0.86 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.36 & 0.81 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now we can verify if the designed gains are stable including subsystem coupling and and varying transmission intervals





The system is stable for $h_k \in [0.9, 1.1]$





17/18

Conclusions

- We presented a model for an NCS which includes
 - varying transmission intervals $[\underline{h}, \overline{h}]$
 - communication constraints (protocol)
 - The controllers are
 - observer-based
 - decentralized
 - switch based on protocol
- Stability can be proven via LMIs based on an overapproximation
- First approach at the design of K_{σ_k} and L_{σ_k} (based on a simplified model)

Future Work:

- Improve design method
- Extend the class of protocols that are able to be analyzed
- Include more NCS effects
- Extend model to include distributed control

